arXiv:1404.1479v2 [math.CO] 28 Apr 2014

ON DISTANCE TWO IN CAYLEY GRAPHS OF COXETER GROUPS

MARK PANKOV

Abstract. We consider the Cayley graph $\mathrm{C}(W,S)$ of a Coxeter system (W,S) and describe all maximal 2-cliques in this graph, i.e. maximal subsets in the vertex set such that the distance between any two distinct elements is equal to 2. As an application, we show that every automorphism of the half of Cayley graph is uniquely extendable to an automorphism of the Cayley graph if $|S| \geq 5$.

1. Introduction

The distance between two vertices in a connected graph is defined as the smallest number m such that the vertices are connected by a path consisting of m edges. Two vertices are said to be 2-*adjacent* if the distance between them is equal to 2. A clique in a graph is a subset of the vertex set, where any two distinct vertices are adjacent (connected by an edge). We say that a subset in the vertex set is a 2-*clique* if any two distinct elements of this subset are 2-adjacent vertices.

The main object of this paper is the Cayley graph $\mathrm{C}(W,S)$ of a Coxeter system (W,S).

If S consists of n mutually commuting involutions then the Cayley graph is the n-dimensional hypercube graph. It is well-known that this graph contains precisely two types of maximal 2-cliques (maximal cliques of the corresponding half-cube graph). Every maximal 2-clique of the first type consists of the n vertices adjacent to a given vertex and maximal 2-cliques of the second type are formed by four elements.

We show that the Cayley graph of any Coxeter system contains at most three types of maximal 2-cliques (Theorem 2). The first type is Sw, $w \in W$ (our Cayley graph is left). Maximal 2-cliques of the second type correspond to triples of mutually non-adjacent nodes in the associated Coxeter diagram and contain precisely four elements. The third type is related to unlabeled edges of the Coxeter diagram, i.e. pairs $s, s' \in S$ such that the order of ss' is 3. Every maximal 2-clique of this type consists of three elements.

In Section 5, we consider the half of Cayley graph (a generalization of the half-cube graph). Using Theorem 2, we show that every automorphism of this graph can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of the Cayley graph if $|S| \geq 5$.

2. Coxeter systems and associated Cayley graphs

Let W be a group generated by a finite set S. Suppose that every element of S is an involution. For distinct $s, s' \in S$ we denote by $m(s,s')$ the order of the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F55, 05C12.
Key words and phrases. Coxeter system, Cayley graph.

element ss'. Then $m(s,s') = m(s',s)$ and the condition $m(s,s') = 2$ is equivalent to the commuting of s and s'.

From this moment we suppose that (W,S) is a *Coxeter system*. This means that the group W has the following presentation

$$W = \langle\, S : (ss')^{m(s,s')} = 1,\ (s,s') \in \mathfrak{I} \,\rangle,$$

where $\mathfrak{I}$ is the set of all pairs (s,s') such that $m(s,s')$ is finite. Our Coxeter system is completely defined by the associated *Coxeter diagram* whose nodes are the elements of S. The nodes corresponding to s and s' are connected by an edge only in the case when $m(s,s') \geq 3$ (the involutions s and s' are non-commuting). If $m(s,s') \geq 4$ then the edge connecting s and s' is labeled by the number $m(s,s')$. All spherical and affine Coxeter systems are known, see [1, Appendix 1] or [2, Table 6.1] for the corresponding irreducible diagrams.

The *Cayley graph* $\mathrm{C}(W,S)$ is the graph whose vertex set is W and $w, v \in W$ are adjacent vertices if $v = sw$ for a certain $s \in S$ (since S consists of involutions, the adjacency relation is symmetric). In contrast to [2, Section 2.1], our Cayley graph is left. In the right Cayley graph, $w, v \in W$ are adjacent if $v = ws$ for a certain $s \in S$. The mapping $w \to w^{-1}$ is an isomorphism between these graphs.

The Cayley graph of the dihedral group $\mathsf{I}_2(n)$ is the $(2n)$-cycle. See [1, Figures 3.2 and 3.3] for the Cayley graphs of A_3 and H_3.

For every $w \in W$ the right multiplication

$$R_w : v \to vw$$

is an automorphism of the Cayley graph. If the diagram of our Coxeter system does not contain adjacent edges labeled by ∞ then the automorphism group of the Cayley graph is the semidirect product of W and the automorphism group of the diagram [1, Corollary 3.2.6].

Remark 1. The Cayley graph can be identified with the graph whose vertices are maximal simplices of the Coxeter complex $\Sigma(W,S)$ and two maximal simplices are adjacent vertices of the graph if their intersection consists of $|S| - 1$ elements [3].

The *length* $l(w)$ of an element $w \in W$ is the smallest number m such that w has an expression

$$w = s_1 \dots s_m, \quad s_1, \dots, s_m \in S. \tag{1}$$

This is the distance between 1 and w in the Cayley graph. The distance $d(w,v)$ between $w, v \in W$ is equal to

$$l(wv^{-1}) = l(vw^{-1}).$$

In what follows we will say that (1) is a *reduced* expression if $m = l(w)$.

If u and u' are adjacent to w then $u = sw$ and $u' = s'w$ for some $s, s' \in S$. Since $d(s,s') = 2$, the elements u and u' are not adjacent. Therefore, every maximal clique of the Cayley graph is a pair of adjacent vertices.

We will use the following well-known properties of Coxeter systems, see [1, 2].

Theorem 1 (The exchange condition)**.** *For every reduced expression* (1) *and every* $s \in S$ *satisfying* $l(sw) \leq m$ *there exists* $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ *such that*

$$sw = s_1 \dots \hat{s}_k \dots s_m$$

(the symbol $\hat{}$ means that the corresponding term is omitted).

Proposition 1. *For every $w \in W$ there is a subset $S_w \subset S$ such that every reduced expression of w is formed by all elements of S_w.*

Proposition 2. *The group W cannot be spanned by a proper subset of S.*

3. Maximal 2-cliques

First we present three examples of 2-cliques in the Cayley graph $\mathrm{C}(W, S)$.

Example 1 (First type)**.** Any two elements of S are 2-adjacent and S is a 2-clique. Since the right multiplication R_w is an automorphism of the Cayley graph, Sw is a 2-clique for every $w \in W$.

Remark 2. Suppose that $S = Sw$. Then for any $s_1, s_2 \in S$ there exist $s_1', s_2' \in S$ such that $s_1 = s_1'w$ and $s_2 = s_2'w$. If $w \neq 1$ then $s_1 \neq s_1'$ and $s_2 \neq s_2'$. We have

$$s_1's_1 = w = s_2's_2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_2's_1's_1 = s_2$$

By Proposition 2, the latter means that $s_2 = s_1'$. Therefore, $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ and $s_1s_2 = s_2s_1$. So, the equality $Sw = Sw'$ implies that $w = w'$ except the case when our Coxeter system is $\mathsf{I}_2(2)$.

Example 2 (Second type)**.** Let s, s', s'' be three mutually commuting elements of S. Then $ss's''$ is 2-adjacent to s, s', s'' and

$$\{sw, s'w, s''w, ss's''w\}$$

is a 2-clique for every $w \in W$.

Example 3 (Third type)**.** Suppose that $s, s' \in S$ and $m(s, s') = 3$. Then

$$ss's = s'ss'.$$

We denote the latter element by $w(s, s')$. It is 2-adjacent to s, s' and for every $w \in W$ the set

$$\{sw, s'w, w(s, s')w\}$$

is a 2-clique.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2. *Every maximal 2-clique of the Cayley graph $\mathrm{C}(W, S)$ is one of the 2-cliques described above.*

Remark 3. Every maximal 2-clique in the Cayley graph of A_1 is a 2-clique of the third type. This Cayley graph contains 2-cliques of the first type, but they are not maximal.

Remark 4. If (W, S) is an irreducible spherical Coxeter system (W is a finite group and the associated Coxeter diagram is connected) and $|S| = 4$ then $\mathrm{C}(W, S)$ contains 2-cliques of the second type only in the case when our Coxeter system is D_4.

Remark 5. If S consists of n mutually commuting involutions then the Cayley graph is the n-dimensional hypercube graph. It contains maximal 2-cliques of the first and second types if $n \geq 4$. In the case when $n = 3$, there precisely two maximal 2-cliques of the second type and 2-cliques of the first type are not maximal.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Lemma 1. *If $u \in W \setminus S$ is 2-adjacent to three distinct $s, s', s'' \in S$ then s, s', s'' are mutually commuting and $u = ss's''$.*

Proof. Since u is 2-adjacent to s, s', s'' and $u \notin S$, there are three reduced expressions

$$u = s_1 s_2 s, \;\; u = s'_1 s'_2 s', \;\; u = s'_1 s'_2 s'',$$

where $s_1, s_2, s'_1, s'_2, s''_1, s''_2 \in S$. Proposition 1 guarantees that

$$S_u = \{s, s', s''\}$$

and

$$\{s_1, s_2\} = \{s', s''\}, \; \{s'_1, s'_2\} = \{s, s''\}, \; \{s''_1, s''_2\} = \{s, s'\}.$$

Thus we have the following possibilities for the first and second expressions:

(1) $u = s''s's = s''ss'$,
(2) $u = s''s's = ss''s'$,
(3) $u = s's''s = s''ss'$,
(4) $u = s's''s = ss''s'$.

Case (1). The involutions s, s' are commuting and the third expression is

$$u = ss's'' = s'ss''. \tag{2}$$

Then $s''s's = u = s'ss''$ and $s's''s's = ss''$. We apply the exchange condition to $w = s''s's$ and get the following three possibilities:

- $s's = ss''$,
- $s''s = ss''$,
- $s''s' = ss''$.

The first and the third contradict to Proposition 2. Thus s and s'' are commuting. Similarly, the equality $s''s's = u = ss's''$ shows that $ss''s's = s's''$. Using the above arguments, we establish that s' and s'' are commuting.

Case (2). The equality $s''s's = ss''s'$ implies that $s's = s''ss''s'$. As in the previous case, we show that s and s' are commuting. Then the third expression is (2) which implies that $ss''s' = u = ss's''$ and s', s'' are commuting. The equality

$$s''ss' = s''s's = u = ss''s'$$

guarantees that s and s'' are commuting.

Case (3). We have $s's''s = s''ss'$ and $s''s's''s = ss'$. As above, this means that s, s' are commuting and the third expression is (2). Then $s's''s = u = s'ss''$ and s, s'' are commuting. The equality

$$s's''s = u = s''ss' = s''s's$$

shows that s' and s'' are commuting.

Case (4). Since $s's''s = ss''s'$, we have

$$s''s = s'ss''s' \;\text{ and }\; ss's''s = s''s'.$$

By the above arguments, this guarantees that s'' is commuting with both s and s'. Then

$$s''ss' = ss''s' = u = s's''s = s''s's$$

which implies that s and s' are commuting. □

Remark 6. Later we will need the following facts established in the proof of Lemma 1. If s, s', s'' are distinct elements of S then each of the equalities

$$s''s's = ss''s' \text{ and } s's''s = s''ss'$$

implies that s and s' are commuting, see the cases (2) and (3). The equality

$$s's''s = ss''s'$$

guarantees that s, s', s'' are mutually commuting, see the case (4).

Lemma 1 shows that for any three mutually commuting $s, s', s'' \in S$ the 2-clique formed by s, s', s'' and $ss's''$ is maximal. Therefore, every 2-clique of the second type is maximal.

Lemma 2. *If $u \in W \setminus S$ is 2-adjacent to $s, s' \in S$ then one of the following possibilities is realized:*

- *$m(s, s') = 3$ and $u = ss's = s'ss'$,*
- *s, s' are commuting and $u = s''s's$ for a certain $s'' \in S$.*

Proof. Since u is 2-adjacent to s, s' and $u \notin S$, there are two reduced expressions

$$u = s_1s_2s \text{ and } u = s_1's_2's',$$

where $s_1, s_2, s_1', s_2' \in S$. By Proposition 1,

$$\{s, s_1, s_2\} = S_u = \{s', s_1', s_2'\}.$$

If $|S_u| = 2$ then $S_u = \{s, s'\}$ and

$$u = ss's = s'ss'$$

which implies that $m(s, s') = 3$, i.e. the first possibility is realized.

If $|S_u| = 3$ then $S_u = \{s, s', s''\}$ and, as in the proof of Lemma 1, we have the following possibilities for the above expressions:

(1) $u = s''s's = s''ss'$,
(2) $u = s''s's = ss''s'$,
(3) $u = s's''s = s''ss'$,
(4) $u = s's''s = ss''s'$.

Each of these equalities gives the second possibility. Indeed, the case (1) is trivial and for the cases (2) – (4) this follows from Remark 6. □

By Lemma 2, for any $s, s' \in S$ satisfying $m(s, s') = 3$ the 2-clique formed by s, s' and $ss's = s'ss'$ is maximal. Thus every 2-clique of the third type is maximal.

Now we prove Theorem 2. Let C be a maximal 2-clique of the Cayley graph. For any $u, u' \in C$ there exist $w \in W$ and $s, s' \in S$ such that $u = sw$ and $u' = s'w$. The maximal 2-clique Cw^{-1} contains s and s'. Thus we can suppose that C contains at least two distinct elements of S.

So, let s and s' be elements of S belonging to C. Suppose that $C \neq S$, i.e. there is $u \in C \setminus S$. We have the following possibilities:

- there is a third element $s'' \in S$ contained in C,
- C contains precisely two elements of S.

In the first case, Lemma 1 implies that s, s', s'' are mutually commuting and

$$C = \{s, s', s'', u = ss's''\}.$$

In the second case, Lemma 2 gives the following possibilities:

$$m(s,s')=3 \ \text{ and } \ C=\{s,s',ss's=s'ss'\}$$

or s,s' are commuting and $u=s''s's$ for a certain $s''\in S$. The latter means that the maximal 2-clique $Cs's$ contains s,s',s'', i.e.

$$Cs's=S \ \text{ or } \ Cs's=\{s,s',s'',u\}.$$

Then C is a 2-clique of the first type or the second type.

5. The half of Cayley graph

The group W can be presented as the disjoint union of the following subsets

$$W_1:=\{\ w\in W: l(w) \text{ is odd }\} \ \text{ and } \ W_2:=\{\ w\in W: l(w) \text{ is even }\}.$$

Using the exchange condition we establish the following:

- the distance between any two elements of W_i, $i\in\{1,2\}$ is even,
- the distance between every element of W_1 and every element of W_2 is odd,

Also, note that W_2 is a subgroup of W.

Consider the graph Γ_i, $i\in\{1,2\}$ whose vertex set is W_i and two elements of W_i are adjacent vertices if the distance between them (in the Cayley graph) is equal to 2. The right multiplication R_w preserves both W_i if $w\in W_2$. If $w\in W_1$ then R_w transfers W_1 to W_2 and conversely, i.e. R_w induces an isomorphism between Γ_1 and Γ_2.

Remark 7. In the case when S consists of mutually commuting involutions, we get the well-known *half-cube graph*.

Every 2-clique of the Cayley graph is contained in W_1 or W_2 and every maximal clique of Γ_i, $i\in\{1,2\}$ is a maximal 2-clique of $\mathrm{C}(W,S)$ contained in W_i.

Corollary 1. *If $|S|\geq 5$ then every isomorphism between Γ_i and Γ_j $i,j\in\{1,2\}$ can be uniquely extended to an automorphism of the Cayley graph.*

Proof. We consider the case when $i=j=1$. Let $f:W_1\to W_1$ be an automorphism of Γ_1. Then f preserves the family of maximal cliques of Γ_1. Every maximal clique of Γ_1 is a maximal 2-clique of $\mathrm{C}(W,S)$ contained in W_1. By Theorem 2, there are precisely three types of such subsets. They contain $|S|$ vertices, 4 vertices and 3 vertices, respectively. The condition $|S|\geq 5$ guarantees that f preserves the types of maximal cliques.

If $w\in W_2$ then Sw is a maximal clique of Γ_1 and $f(Sw)=Sw'$ for a certain $w'\in W_2$. We set $f(w):=w'$ and get a bijective transformation of W.

If $w,v\in W$ are adjacent vertices of the Cayley graph then one of these vertices belongs to W_1 and the other is an element of W_2. Suppose that $v\in W_1$ and $w\in W_2$. Then $v\in Sw$ and $f(v)\in f(Sw)=Sf(w)$ which implies that $f(v)$ and $f(w)$ are adjacent vertices of the Cayley graph. The apply the same arguments to f^{-1} and establish that f is an automorphism of the Cayley graph.

The uniqueness of such extension follows from the fact that w is the unique vertex of the Cayley graph adjacent to all vertices from Sw (Remark 2). □

Remark 8. In the case when $|S|=4$, the latter statement fails. If S consists of 4 mutually commuting involutions then the Cayley graph is the 4-dimensional hypercube graph. There are automorphisms of the associated half-cube graph which

transfer 2-cliques of the first type to 2-cliques of the second type and conversely. They are not extendable to automorphisms of the hypercube.

Corollary 2. *Suppose that* $|S| \geq 5$. *Let* f *be a bijective transformation of* W *preserving the distance* 2 *in both directions, i.e.*

$$d(w, v) = 2 \iff d(f(w), f(v)) = 2$$

for all $w, v \in W$. *Then there are two automorphisms* f_1 *and* f_2 *of the Cayley graph such that the restriction of* f *to* W_i, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ *coincides with the restriction of* f_i *to* W_i [1].

Proof. It is not difficult to show that f preserves both W_i or transfers W_1 to W_2 and conversely. Corollary 1 gives the claim. □

Acknowledgment. The author thanks John D. Dixon for the interesting and remarks.

References

[1] Björner A., Brenti F., *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*, Graduate texts in Mathematics 231, Springer, 2005.
[2] Davis M. W., *The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series 32, Princeton University Press, 2007.
[3] Tits J., *Buildings of spherical type and finite BN-pairs*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 386, Springer, Berlin-New York 1974.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Warmia and Mazury, Słoneczna 54, Olsztyn, Poland
E-mail address: pankov@matman.uwm.edu.pl, markpankovmath@gmail.com

[1]The transformation f is an automorphism of the Cayley graph if and only if $f_1 = f_2$.